Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,143	LIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Baturay	2446	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Alicia Baturay</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Haynes (Reg. #40,014)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12,23,34 and 39</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner and applicant's representative discussed claim amendments that led to the case being put in condition for allowance.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS			
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERIED AS TATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STANDE INTERPRIED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIED INTERPRI	OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	/ DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	THIS LATER, TO
	/Alicia Baturay/ Examiner, Art Unit 2446		